```
THE COURT: You're welcome.
 1
 2
                    MR. BENNETT: Sam, may I move this?
                    Thank you, sir.
 3
                         (Plaintiff's closing argument.)
 5
                    THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Bennett.
 6
                    Mr. Wingfield.
                    MR. WINGFIELD: Thank you, Your Honor.
                    THE COURT: You're welcome.
 8
                         (Defendants' closing argument.)
 9
10
                    THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Wingfield.
11
                    Mr. Bennett, you may offer a brief rebuttal.
12
                    MR. BENNETT:
                                  Thank you.
                    THE COURT: You're welcome.
13
14
                         (Brief rebuttal by Plaintiff's counsel.)
15
                    THE COURT:
                                Thank you, Mr. Bennett.
16
                    All right. Ladies and gentlemen, I will now
17
     give you the instructions of law. They are very lengthy. I
18
    must read them to you. As I indicated, I will give you a
19
     copy of them to take with you. They are for your collective
20
     consideration and guidance in your deliberation. Your are
     the judges of the facts that tend to apply to the facts of
21
22
     the law as I will now state.
23
                    The plaintiff alleges that the defendant, a
24
    branch bank of BB&T, violated the Fair Credit Reporting Act
25
     after receiving notice from credit reporting agencies that
```

the plaintiff was disputing a claim filed concerning this BB&T file. He claims that BB&T negligently or willfully failed to review all of the information provided by him and by the credit reporting agencies that failed to investigate his dispute in a reasonable fashion and failed to accurately report back to BB&T the result of its investigation.

Let me ask you to hold on for a moment, folks. Let me just patch up a little here.

The plaintiff claims that BB&T negligently or willfully failed to review all of the information provided by the credit reporting agencies, failed to investigate the dispute in a reasonable fashion and failed to accurately report back to the agency the result of its investigation.

BB&T denies that it negligently or wilfully violated any provision of the Fair Credit Reporting Act. It claims that it reviewed all pertinent information provided by the credit reporting agencies and otherwise investigated the plaintiff's disputes in a reasonable fashion and that it accurately reported back the results of its investigation.

Now, to establish the claim that BB&T failed to comply with the Fair Credit Reporting Act, the plaintiff must establish the following elements by a preponderance of the evidence. First, that the Defendant negligently failed to conduct any investigation with respect to the dispute of credit information or failed to review all relevant

information provided by the consumer reporting agencies involved or that it failed to accurately report the results of the investigation through the Consumers Reporting Agency and, secondly, that the plaintiff was damaged; and, third, and, finally, that the negligence of BB&T proximately caused the damage suffered by the plaintiff.

Verdict as to the plaintiff's claim in violation of the Act must be for the Defendant, BB&T, if you find that the plaintiff has failed to establish any one of those elements that I just told you about.

The term negligence, as used in these instructions, means the failure to do something that a reasonable prudent person would do or the doing of something that a reasonably prudent person would not do under the circumstances you find existed in this case by a reasonably prudent person or business entity do or not do under the circumstances.

The term proximate cause as used in these instructions means that there must be a causal connection between the conduct of the Defendant that the plaintiff claims is either negligent or willful and the damage complained of by the plaintiff is a natural, probable result of the conduct of the defendant.

To establish by a preponderance of the evidence means to prove something that is more likely so than

not. In other words, preponderance of the evidence means such evidence as when compared and considered with the evidence opposed to it has more convincing force and produces in your mind a belief that what is sought to be proved is more likely true than true. In determining whether any fact or issue has been proved by a preponderance of the evidence, you may, unless otherwise instructed, consider the testimony of all the witnesses, regardless of who may have called them, and all exhibits received in evidence, regardless of who may have introduced them.

BB&T was required to conduct a reasonable investigation. The factors to be considered in determining whether BB&T conducted a reasonable investigation include whether the consumer had alerted BB&T information to be reliable and the cost of verifying the accuracy of the information versus the possible harm of reporting inaccurate information.

Standard for such an investigation is what a reasonably prudent person would do under the circumstances. In evaluating the reasonableness of BB&T's investigation involves weighing the potential harm from inaccuracies against the burden of safeguarding against such inaccuracy.

An investigation is defined as a detailed inquiry or symptomatic examination. The plane meaning of investigation requires some degree of careful inquiry of the

credit reporting agencies.

After receiving all of the consumer's disputes through a consumer reporting agency, the creditor may not furnish any information relating to a consumer to any consumer reporting agency if the creditor knows the information is inaccurate.

Credit reporting is accurate if it's patently incorrect or misleads or is expected to have an adverse impact on that consumer.

A debtor's failure to make a tender of payment may be excused if it's established that such tender was made unnecessary by declaration, act or omission of the creditor whom the tender was due.

Tender of payment to satisfy an obligation need not be paid when the failure of tender would be in vein and — however, the initial tender of payment does not excuse the debtor's entire obligation to the creditor.

The plaintiff in this case has the burden of proving to your satisfaction by a preponderance of the evidence that any particular tender by him of payments on the BB&T obligation was excused by BB&T in that he was unable to make the tender as a result BB&T's actions.

Parties excused from this failure to perform the contract if performance became impossible after the contract was made. Where a party relies on the possibility

of offense, he must prove by the greater weight of the evidence, first, that the performance of his obligations under the contract was rendered impossible; second, that he did not cause the circumstances which made this performance impossible; and, third and final, that the circumstance that rendered performance impossible was not reasonably foreseeable in the parties' contract and the consumer who delivers their payments to a creditor without specific payment instructions from the creditor does so partly at his own risk.

Damages recoverable or willful on the Credit Reporting Act are two kinds. First, they are damages that actually suffered by reason of the wrong complained of. If you find that BB&T willfully violated the Federal Fair Credit Reporting Act, you must award the plaintiff the actual damages that you have find that he sustained as a result of this failure.

If at the same time that you find BB&T violated the plaintiff's rights under that act but that he did not suffer any of the actual damages to your satisfaction, you must award him an amount not less than 100 or more than \$1,000, as provided for by the act all statutory damages.

There is a second type of damages that would apply. Those are punitive, which means damages over and

above the actual damages, if any, suffered by the plaintiff. These are the damages that may be awarded by you in your discretion for the punishment of the defendant for the wrong done for engage in such contact.

If you, as a juror, find that the acts or the omissions of the defendant proximately caused the actual damage were willfully done, in you may exercise in your discretion add to the award of actual damages that you agree is proper punitive damages.

Remember that whether or not to make any award retroactive in addition to the actual damages that is exclusively and entirely within your province.

The term willfully as used in these instructions means that the Defendant BB&T knowingly and intentionally committed an act in conscience disregard to the rights of the plaintiff as the consumer.

A showing of malice or evil motive is not required in the willfulness.

If you find that the Defendant violated the Fair Credit Reporting Act, you may find that such violation was negligent or willful.

If you find the Defendant violated the act and such act was negligent but not willful, you may not assess punitive damages against the Defendant BB&T.

At the same time, however, if you find that

the defendant violated the act that such act was willful, you can may award such punitive award.

You should bear in mind, however, the purpose from which the law permits an award of punitive damages but also the requirement of the law that the amount of such punitive damages must be fixed with calm discretion and sound reasoning. It must never be awarded or fixed in an amount of any bias or prejudice with respect to any parties.

You may consider the defendant's net worth in connection with the punitive damages and also under the law there should be a rational relationship between punitive dames, if you are elect to award them, and plaintiff's actual damages.

If you choose to accept punitive damages against the defendant BB&T for willful violation of the Fair Reporting Act, you may consider the following factors in considering such an award: Repealed purpose of the Fair Credit Reporting Act; the harm to consumer is expected to be avoided or corrected by that requirement and manner in which the Defendant BB&T conducted its business. The length of time before BB&T corrected its mistake; the degree of notice provided to the BB&T about its mistake and BB&T awareness of the mistake and BB&T net worth.

The burden is on the plaintiff to prove by the preponderance of the evidence each item of damage he claims

and that each item was caused by BB&T. He's not required to prove the exact amount of his damages but must show suffer facts and circumstances to allow him to make a reasonable estimate of each item. If the plaintiff fails to do so, he cannot recover for that item of damage. Damages must be reasonable. You should find, if plaintiff is entitled to damages, you may only award him such damages as were reasonably compensated for the injury and damage that you find that the plaintiff has sustained as a possible result of BB&T's actions.

You are not to award speculative damages. So you're not able to include any verdict for compensation for any speculative or future loss; although possible, it is not reasonably certain for your verdict.

If your verdict is for the plaintiff on the claim of negligence and non-compliance with the Fair Credit Reporting Act, then your duty is to assume the amount of money that reasonably, fairly and adequately compensates him for the damage that you decide resulted from the defendant's failure to comply. The plaintiff has the duty to mitigate his damages.

If you should find that the defendant BB&T has proven by a preponderance of the evidence that the plaintiff did not act reasonably to mitigate his damages, as a result, then he's not to recover by which they increased.

. 4

1.2

Actual damages include the recovery for any out-of-the-pocket expenses and property losses and damages for personal humiliation, embarrassment, anguish and emotional distress. There is no fixed standard where it indicates tangible items, such as humiliation, embarrassment, anguish and emotional distress, you must determine if there is an adequate award for these items through the exercise of your judgment and experience in the affairs of the world after considering all of the facts and circumstances presented during the trial of the case.

Whether the element of damages has been proven by the plaintiff is for you to decide based upon evidence, not speculation, guess or conjuncture.

Damages for embarrassment and humiliation will not be presumed. Plaintiff must prove that they did occur. The plaintiff is not obligated to do such harm to a mathematical precision but must be used sufficient as to be raw material so you can make an estimate.

The fact that I have instructed you as to the proper measure of damages must not be construed as any view of mine whatsoever. Each party is entitled to your verdict in this case.

Instructions as to measurement of damages is only -- only in the advantage you find in favor of the plaintiff by a preponderance of the evidence in accordance to

all these instructions.

Now some general guidelines. Generally speaking, there are two types of evidence that is presented at trial: Direct evidence and circumstantial evidence.

Direct evidence is the testimony of a person who asserts or claims to have actual knowledge of a fact; such as an eyewitness. In direct or circumstantial evidence, the proof of one or more facts, which you then can find another fact.

As an example direct evidence that is a witness who says: I was outside a minute ago and I saw it raining. Circumstantial evidence in that same issue would be that it's raining within the observation of someone entering with an umbrella. You should consider both of them.

As a general rule, a law makes no distinction between the weight or value to be given to either direct evidence or circumstantial evidence. Known as a greater certainty required of circumstantial evidence which simply requires that you find facts in accordance with the preponderance of all the evidence in both direct evidence and circumstantial evidence.

You're the sole judges of the credibility of the witnesses and weight their testimony as it deserves. You may be guided by the appearance or conduct of a witness or by the manner in which the witness testifies, or by the

character of the testimony given or by evidence contrary to that testimony.

You should carefully examine all of the testimony given. The circumstances under which witness has testified and every matter in evidence tending to show that the witness is worthy of belief. Consider each witness' intelligence, motive and state of mind, demeanor or manner while testifying. Consider the witness' ability to observe the matters in which the witness has testified. Whether the witness impresses as having an accurate recollection of those matters. Also consider any relation each witness may have with either side of the case and the manner in which the witness may be affected and the extent to which the testimony of each witness is either supported or contradicted by other evidence in the case.

Any inconsistencies or discrepancies the testimony of a witness or between the testimony of different witnesses may or may not discredit such testimony. Two or more persons may see or hear it differently. In weighing the effect of a discrepancy, always consider whether it pertains a matter of importance or unimportant detail and whether the discrepancy results from an innocent error or substantial falsehood.

After making your judgment of the testimony of each witness, such weight, if any. In short, you may accept

or reject the testimony of any witness in whole or in part.

In addition, the weight of the evidence is not necessarily determined by the number of witnesses testifying to the existence or nonexistence of any fact.

You may find that the testimony of a small number of witnesses as to any fact is more credible than the testimony of a large number of witnesses to the contrary.

You must not base your verdict in any way upon sympathy, bias or speculation. Your verdict must be based solely on the evidence and the instructions of the court.

You should consider to decide this case as a dispute between persons of equal standing in the community. A corporation, such as the defendant BB&T, is entitled to the same fair trial as a private citizen and individual. All persons, including corporations, stand equal before the law and are to be dealt with as equals.

Corporation act only through natural -- its agents or employees and in general any agent or employee of a corporation may bind the corporation by his acts or acting within the scope of his authority while delegated to by the corporation or within the scope of his duties as an employee of the corporation.

An employer, such as BB&T, is liable for all loss or damages caused by the acts of its employees while they acted within the scope of their employment. An

Q,

employee's knowledge of acts is imputed through its employer, such as BB&T, is deemed to know what its employees know.

Furthermore, in order to find that BB&T willfully violated the plaintiff's consumer rights you must find that a single the individual employed by BB&T acted with such motivation.

During the trial, certain testimony has been presented to you by way of deposition. That deposition consisted of the sworn recorded answers to questions asked of the witness in advance of the trial by one or more of the attorneys for the parties in the case.

Such testimony is entitled to the same consideration and is to be judged as to credibility and weight otherwise considered by you in the same way as if the witness had been present and testified from that witness stand. The reason why the person being deposed it not present must not be of any concern to you.

Nothing in these instructions or nothing in the verdict prepared for you is meant to suggest in any way or manner what verdict I think you should find. What the verdict shall be is within your sole and exclusive duty and responsibility.

Any verdict must represent the verdict of each of you. In order to return a verdict, it's necessary that each juror agree. That your verdict must be unanimous. It's

your duty to consult with your fellow jurors, with one another, and to deliberate in an effort to do so without disregarding individual judgment. Each of you must decide the case for yourself only after impartial consideration of each individual juror.

In the course of your deliberation, do not hesitate to reexamine or change your opinion if you're convinced it is erroneous. Do not surrender you honest convictions as to weight or effect solely because of the opinion of your fellow jurors or for the mere purpose of a verdict. You are the sole judges of the facts and it is your sole interest to seek the truth as has been presented in the case.

Upon retiring to the jury room, you will select one of your members to act as your foreperson. The foreperson will reside over the deliberation and be your spokesperson in court.

We have prepared a form for your verdict and hopefully it's self explanatory, ladies and gentlemen, but in essence it provides for three alternative verdict possibilities. And the foreman would check whichever finding you may arrive at. First, if the Defendant BB&T is found to have negligently violated the Act in regards to the plaintiff, if so what damages to award, or secondly that you find the bank willfully violated the Act by the preponderance

of the evidence and if so, the damages that would apply and we have already gone over that, including the possible award punitive damages, only if you find a willful violation.

And the third none of the above; that is, if you find in favor of the bank that they did not negligently or willfully violated the Act. You'll take this verdict form to the jury room and when you have reached a unanimous agreement as to your verdict, the foreperson will fill in the date and sign the form and sets forth the verdict that you have unanimously agreed. And then signal the security officer and return to the court room.

If it becomes necessary during your deliberation to communicate with me, send me a written note, signed by your foreperson or one or by one or more members of the jury, again, the security officer will bring it to me and I will respond promptly either in writing or have you return to the courtroom so I can address you orally.

Bear in mind also that you're never to reveal how you may stand, numerically or otherwise, until after you have reached a unanimous verdict.

Now, ladies and gentlemen, that completes the instructions and you will be given a copy of them. There are a lot of them. What I wish you to do now is leave those notebooks in your chair and to retire to the jury room but not to begin yet until the officer brings in the exhibit